

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCÉ United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/616,206	07/10/2003		Werner Juengling	M4065.0426/P426-B	9772
24998	7590	04/21/2004	•	EXAMINER	
		RO MORIN & OS	WILSON, CHRISTIAN D		
2101 L STRI WASHINGT		20037-1526	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		•	2824	

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

₹							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
_	10/616,206	JUENGLING, WERNER					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Christian Wilson	2824					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address Peri d for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Stātus							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 75-87 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 75-87 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents 	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07102003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: search histor	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Same of the second

Application/Control Number: 10/616,206

Art Unit: 2824

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the abstract contains legal language such as "comprises".

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,206

Art Unit: 2824

3. Claims 75 – 87 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 – 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,627,933.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Juengling (US 6,627,933) discloses at least one isolation region formed within a semiconductor substrate [column 10, lines 6-9] and a gate stack spacing which is less than 400 Angstroms [column 10, lines 1-2]. The range disclosed in Juengling overlaps the claimed ranges of about 100 to 400 Angstroms and about 100 to 300 Angstroms, and therefore a *prima facie* case of obviousness exists.

In the case where the claimed ranges "overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art" a *prima facie* case of obviousness exists. In re Wertheim, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990)". [A] prior art reference that discloses a range encompassing a somewhat narrower claimed range is sufficient to establish a prima facie case of obviousness." In re Peterson, 315 F.3d 1325, 1330, 65 USPQ2d 1379, 1382-83 (Fed. Cir. 2003).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Pending a properly filed terminal disclaimer, claims 75 87 are allowable.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: applicant discloses a novel semiconductor structure which, in the context of the entire claim, has a plurality of gate stacks which are spaced apart by about 100 Angstroms to 400 Angstroms or 100 Angstroms to 300 Angstroms.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,206

Art Unit: 2824

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited prior art teaches gate stacks which are spaced apart by about 500 Angstroms, but not less than that amount.

- 7. A copy of the search history (EAST and STN) is enclosed.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1886. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays, 7:30 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (571) 272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christian Wilson, Ph.D.

Page 4

Patent Examiner Art Unit 2824